## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA MACON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

:

**v.** 

7:95-CR-20 (WDO)

KIRBY EDMUND PETERSON, :

:

Defendant :

## **ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on the Defendant's motion to compel the government to file another Rule 35 motion. "[F]ederal district courts may review the government's refusal to file a substantial-assistance motion if the defendant first makes a 'substantial threshold showing' that the refusal was based upon an unconstitutional motive, such as race or religion." <u>United States v. Holland</u>, 2006 WL 172220, No. 04-15680, (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. Jan. 25, 2006). "In the absence of this showing, the defendant has no right to discovery or an evidentiary hearing on this issue." <u>Id.</u> (citation omitted). Defendant would also "be entitled to relief if the prosecutor's refusal to move was not rationally related to any legitimate government interest." <u>Id.</u> Defendant Peterson failed to make a showing of any of these factors and his motion to compel is therefore DENIED.

SO ORDERED this 16th day of March 2006.

S/ WILBUR D. OWENS, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE